1919 PATERSON 10 CIV 0975

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BEVERLY L. MUNTER, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	
Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTIONS.D.C. S.D. N.Y. CASHIERS
V. CRM HOLDINGS, LTD., DANIEL G. HICKEY, JR., MARTIN D. RAKOFF, JAMES J. SCARDINO, and DANIEL G. HICKEY, SR.,) COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS) OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES) LAWS)
Defendants.)) <u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>

Plaintiff Beverly L. Munter, by and through her attorneys, alleges the following upon information and belief, except as to those allegations concerning Plaintiff, which are alleged upon personal knowledge. Plaintiff's information and belief is based upon, among other things, her counsel's investigation, which includes without limitation: (a) review and analysis of regulatory filings made by CRM Holdings, Ltd. ("CRMH" or the "Company") with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"); (b) review and analysis of press releases and media reports issued by and disseminated by CRMH; and (c) review of other publicly available information concerning CRMH.

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND OVERVIEW

1. This is a federal class action on behalf of purchasers of CRMH's securities between December 21, 2005 and November 5, 2008, inclusive (the "Class Period"), seeking to pursue remedies under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act").

Page 2 of 57

- and formerly in New York underwritten and provides excess workers compensation coverage for self-insured organizations. CRMH is also a provider of fee-based management services to self-insured groups in California New Jersey, New York, and other states. The Company reinsures some of the primary business primary workers compensation insurance to employers in California, Arizona, Florida, Nevada, fee-based management and other services to self-insured entities. underwriting workers compensation reinsurance and excess insurance policies, and providing business activities include underwriting primary workers compensation insurance CRMH is a provider of workers compensation insurance products. The Company provides policies, main
- concurrent investigation being conducted by the WCB Industry Trust of New York, and that the Company believed that the subpoena related to the Attorney General") requesting documents related to CRM's administration of the Healthcare received a subpoena from the New York State Attorney General's Office (the "New York workers compensation groups in New York. administrator's license to provide third party claims administrative services to self-insured Workers Compensation Board (the "WCB") that, following an initial investigation, the WCB ("CRM"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, received notice from the New to pursue an administrative action in order to On April 17, 2008, CRMH disclosed that Compensation Risk Managers, LLC In addition, the Company disclosed that CRM revoke CRM's third party
- on April 17, 2009 at \$3.32 per share, on unusually heavy volume On this news, shares of CRMH declined \$1.58 per share, more than 32%, to close
- S Thereafter, on October 3, 2008, CRM further disclosed that it had received a letter

- per share, or 24.31%, to close on October 7, 2008 at \$1.91 per share, on high volume On this news, over the next two days of trading, shares of CRMH declined \$0.61
- been reflected in the first and second quarter of 2008 quarter, the Company had about \$2.5 million of loss reserve increases that would have otherwise reported its financial results for the 2008 fiscal third quarter and announced that during third Subsequently, at the end of the Class Period, on November 5, 2008,
- share, on high volume CRMH declined \$0.58 per share, more than 36%, to close on November 7, 2008 at \$1.03 per On this news, over the course of the following three days of trading, shares of
- named parties engaged in fraudulent practices in connection with CRM's administration and months of investigation and that the Attorney General alleged that the Company and the other reached within five days. According to the Company, the Notice came after more than nineteen officers to seek redress of allegedly unlawful practices unless an acceptable settlement could be Company disclosed that, according to the Notice, the New York Attorney General intended to civil claims against the Company, certain of its subsidiaries, and certain directors Enforcement Action" (the "Notice") from the New York Attorney General. After the Class Period, on December 9, 2009, the Company received a "Notice of

completed in December 2005 marketing of the Trusts, as well as in connection with the Company's initial public offering

- amount that is not currently ascertainable, but which is believed to exceed \$405 million unjustly enriched. The WCB alleges that the WCB and the Trusts have suffered damages in an administering the Trusts, engaged in deceptive business practices and advertising, fiduciary duties owed to the Trusts, breached contracts between CRM and the Trusts, breached interest to the Trusts, which were previously managed by CRM. The Company indicated that the WCB's lawsuit, alleged that CRM, its subsidiaries and certain directors and officers breached had commenced a lawsuit against CRM on its own behalf and in its capacity as successor in 10. good faith and fair dealing owed to the Trusts, engaged in fraudulent activities in The following day, on December 10, 2009, the Company disclosed that the WCB
- ambulance workers' benefits, and volunteer civil defense workers' benefits on behalf of New York's injured workers and their employers workers' compensation benefits, disability benefits, volunteer firefighters' benefits, volunteer The WCB's mission is to equitably and fairly administer the provisions of the WCL, including New York State Workers' Compensation Law ("WCL"), charged with administration of the WCL and attendant regulations, and has all of the powers and duties set forth in WCL \S As explained in the WCB Complaint, the WCB is a governmental agency created pursuant to
- dependents of a covered worker who died as a result of a compensable injury or illness. Pursuant result of employment-related disease or injuries. full medical treatment, including rehabilitation, for covered employees who become disabled as a Workers' compensation benefits provide weekly cash payments and the Benefits also may be payable ō

- self-insurer (WCL § 50(3) and WCL § 50(3-a)). authorized to transact such business in New York State (WCL § 50(2)); or (3) by becoming a insuring and keeping insured the payment of such compensation with any insurance carrier insured the payment of such compensation from the State Insurance Fund (WCL § 50(1)); (2) by compensation to their employees in one of the following three ways: (1) by insuring and keeping The WCL states that employers may secure the payment
- under WCL § 50(3-a) as a group of employers who jointly self-insure for workers' compensation employers in related industries and form a group self-insured trust ("GSIT"). A GSIT is defined 13. In the event that an employer pursuing coverage under WCL § 50(3) is unable the financial wherewithal to self-insure individually, it may join with other
- known as a "contribution." GSIT also pay money in exchange for the payment of workers' compensation to their employees exchange for workers' compensation coverage, whereas employers who elect to participate in a State Insurance Fund or a commercial insurance carrier pay money, known as a "premium," 4. Employers who elect to insure the payment of workers' compensation through the

compensation benefits, must apply to, and be duly authorized by, the WCB's Office of Self-Insurance. employers, whether individuals or as members of a GSIT, who wish to self-insure for workers pursuant to WCL §50(3), or as a member of a GSIT, pursuant to WCL §50(3-a). An employer may self-insure in one of two ways either as an individual,

- responsibilities for GSITs at 12 NYCRR § 317, et seq. promulgated additional regulations to establish application procedures, qualifications, compensation for which the employers may be liable under the WCL. that the group of employers provide proof to the WCB of the GSIT's financial ability to pay all payment of compensation under this chapter to their employees." A condition of any such plan is under the WCL, except through payment of all claims by the GSIT or by the employer. WCL GSIT shall not be relieved from their liability for workers' compensation, as required 50(3-a)(2), employers "may adopt a plan for self-insurance, For group insurance, WCL § 50(3-a)(3) provides that all employers participating as a group, for the The WCB
- trust obligations." compensation board assessments, accrued expenses including administrative costs...and all other workers' compensation liabilities." "Trust liabilities" is defined as "all claims, accrued workers defined as "a trust account or fund, financed by the contributions of and assessments on members "Contribution" is defined as "the annual charge to individual members of a group self-insurer to group self-insurer, for the exclusive purpose of paying for and otherwise administering its workers' compensation liabilities and assessments." WCB's regulations contain the definitions "Trust account or trust fund" is pertaining
- 18. The WCB regulations require GSITs to, inter alia:
- of liabilities; (a) provide evidence of adequate capitalization and maintain assets in excess
- deposits, assessments, penalties, reasonable costs of operation, fixed costs such as excess trust **(b)** for any prohibit the use of "trust funds collected from group members or earned purpose not directly related to the payment of claims, security

- payment and administration of claims, assessments, and other costs arising under the Workers' Compensation Law;" and prohibit the commingling with other funds of "funds dedicated to
- comply with the remedial provisions applicable to under-funded GSITs;
- of the GSIT; (e) submit annual audited financial statements evidencing the financial status
- flow of benefits to injured workers to ensure adequate financial strength of the GSIT, and minimize the risk of an interruption in the These requirements provide the WCB's Office of Self-Insurance with information
- need of remedial action to ensure that the GSITs remain solvent: The WCB employs, inter alia, certain procedures to identify GSITs that are in
- GSITs liabilities and assets; statements and actuarial reports submitted by every GSIT. These documents detail the the WCB receives and reviews the annual independently audited financial

- GSIT is subject to the remediation procedures set forth in 12 NYCRR § 317.9; and indicate that the GSIT has greater liabilities than assets, known as "underfunding," the if the GSITs annual audited financial statements and actuarial reports
- the GSIT to a funded status in a timely manner or more of the actions designated in 12 NYCRR § 317.9(b), which are designed to restore depending upon the severity of the underfunding, the WCB may take one

- which accrued prior to termination, and are payable directly to the injured employees its members. The GSIT's members still are required to meet workers' compensation obligations, be terminated by order of the WCB. When this occurs, the GSIT no longer provides coverage for that is so severe that it cannot be restored to a financially stable position in a timely manner will A GSIT whose financial analyses demonstrates continued underfunding status
- pursuant to 12 NYCRR § 317.20. insolvent and assume administration and final distribution of the GSIT's assets and liabilities, liabilities due to its inability to pay outstanding lawful obligations, the WCB may deem the GSIT 22 In the event the WCB determines that a GSIT cannot properly administer its
- deposit securities with the WCB pursuant to WCL § 50(4). insolvent. The WCL and the WCB's regulations require that all self-insurers, including GSITs, to injured workers are not interrupted, even if the private self-insured employer becomes The WCB's overriding concern is to ensure that the statutorily mandated benefits
- deposit, the WCB must meet all of the insolvent GSIT's obligations out of its own administrative compensation obligations. security deposit and and liabilities, the WCB makes a demand on the guarantor of the security deposit, and uses the After assuming administration and final distribution of an insolvent GSIT's assets the GSIT's remaining assets to pay the GSIT's Upon the exhaustion of the GSIT's remaining assets and security remaining

successor in interest to the following GSITs (collectively, the "Trusts"): 25 According to WCB Complaint, pursuant to 12 NYCRR § 317.20, the WCB is the

- September 1999, and was formed on or about September 12, 1999; authorized by the WCB to operate as a GSIT in the State of New York in or about The Healthcare Industry Trust of New York ("HITNY"), which
- GSIT in the State of New York in or about September 1999, and was formed on or about Trust of New York) ("WRWCT"), which was authorized by the WCB to operate as a (f/k/a The Grocery Industry Trust of New York and f/k/a The Food and Beverage Industry **(b)** The Wholesale and Retail Workers' Compensation Trust of New
- about December 27, 2000; operate as a GSIT in the State of New York in or about December 2000 was formed in or Transportation Trust of New York) ("TRIWCT"), which was authorized by the WCB to Transportation Industry Workers' Compensation Trust (f/k/a)
- December 2001 and was formed in or about December 27, 2001; was authorized by the WCB to operate as a GSIT in the State of New York in or about Manufacturing Industry Workers Compensation Trust of New York) ("TIWCT"), which Trade Industry Workers' Compensation Trust for Manufacturers (f/k/a the
- January 2001 and was formed in or about January 1, 2001; was authorized by the WCB to operate as a GSIT in the State of New York in or about The Real Estate Management Trust of New York ("REMTNY"), which

was formed in or about January 1, 2001; and by the WCB to operate as a GSIT in the State of New York in or about January 2001 and The Public Entity Trust of New York ("PETNY"), which was authorized

- as a GSIT in the State of New York in or about January 2002 and was formed in or about of Cemeteries Trust Workers) ("NYSCT"), which was authorized by the WCB to operate (3) The New York State Cemeteries Trust (f/k/a The New York Association
- alleges, upon the WCB's information and belief: party administrator representing the Trusts before the WCB. From 1999 to September 2008, CRM acted as a group administrator and third-Specifically, the WCB Complaint
- trust agreements (the "Trust Agreements"); (a) CRM drafted all of the Trusts' Service Agreements and all of the Trusts'
- over aspects of the Trusts' operations and flow of information; **b** CRM, for extended periods of time, exercised dominion and/or control
- participated in board meetings, made investment decisions, and appointed trustees; <u>c</u> CRM and its employees and representatives, among other
- caused the Trusts to become underfunded and/or insolvent; **a** the actions, as well as the inactions, of CRM, its affiliates, and managers.
- \$220,000,000; as of September 30, 2009, HITNY had a member deficit of approximately
- \$41,000,000; (f)as of July 23, 2009, WRWCT had a member deficit of approximately
- \$66,000,000; as of June 23, 2009, TRIWCT had a member deficit of approximately
- \$7,000,000; Ξ as of September 1, 2009, TIWCT had a member deficit of approximately

- \$2,500,000; Ξ as of March 1, 2009, REMTNY had a member deficit of approximately
- \$4,600,000; and as of September 30, 2009, PETNY had a member deficit of approximately
- $\widehat{\Sigma}$ as of March 1, 2009, NYSCT had a member deficit of approximately
- transfer all of its records pertaining to the administration of the Trusts to the WCB administration and final distribution of the Trusts' assets and liabilities, and directed CRM to Trusts were unable According to the WCB Complaint, "[a]s a result of, inter alia, the above deficits, to properly administer their liabilities and the WCB
- Corporations, on October 21, 1997. corporation was employed by and part owner of Consolidated Risk Services ("CRS"), a foreign business Defendant Daniel G. Hickey, Sr. ("Hickey Sr.") Prior to June 1999, Defendant Martin D. Rakoff prior to June 1999, Defendant Daniel G. Hickey, Jr. ("Hickey") worked for Hickey-Finn & Co., ("Hickey-Finn"), that registered with the New York State Department of State, WCB Complaint further alleges, upon the WCB's information and an insurance brokerage firm owned by, among others, Division of
- as a domestic limited liability company with the New York State Department of State, Division their respective companies sometime in mid-1999. On or about May 26, 1999, CRM registered firm that identified members to join GSITs formed by CRS. Defendants Rakoff and Hickey left that provided services to GSITs in New York State from 1996 to 2004, and Hickey-Finn was the Furthermore, according to the WCB Complaint, CRS was a trust administrator

of Corporations. Robert Finn. CRM was formed by Defendants Rakoff, Hickey, and Hickey, Sr., as well as

- fee-based management and other services for workers' compensation self-insured groups in New York and California" and that it has been in the business of forming and managing GSITs since filed with the SEC on December 21, 2005, the Company stated that it is a "leading provider of September 19, 2005, amendments, and Prospectus (collectively the "Registration Statement") 30. In preparation for its IPO, in its initial registration statement filed with the SEC on
- managed groups. CRMH derived a significant amount of its income from CRMH's reinsurance business with its (the nine month period ending September 30, 2005). The Registration Statement also stated that and 63.24%, of CRMH's \$31,610,000, in total revenues for the first three fiscal quarters of 2005 \$19,992,000, or 76.3%, of CRMH's \$26,200,000 in "Fee-based management services revenue," Registration Statement stated that the New York State GSITs accounted for
- According to the WCB Complaint, upon the WCB's information and belief
- Executive Officer, respectively, from CRM's inception until at least 2006 Defendants Hickey and Rackoff served as CRM's President and
- ("CRM USA"), and CRM USA's parent, Defendant CRMH, are interchangeable; the staff and business of CRM, its parent CRM USA Holdings,
- potential trust members, thereby decreasing CRM's revenues; Trusts a less financially attractive workers' compensation coverage option to current and doing so, CRM would have been required to raise member contribution rates, making the CRM did not maintain adequate reserve levels for the Trusts because, in

- manipulated actuarial estimates prepared on behalf of the Trusts (d) CRM exerted control over actuarial analyses of the Trusts and
- manipulate the Trusts' actuarial estimates there was a financial incentive for CRM to influence, control,
- chance CRM had to retain and attract new members to each of the Trusts; and the more favorable the Trusts' actuarial estimates appeared,
- among other things, CRM's breaches of duties to the Trusts, fraud, deceptive acts, and HITNY, which reported a \$75,783,819.00 deficit, and such deficits were caused by the end of 2006, many of the Trusts reported drastic deficits, including

According to the WCB Complaint

- reason other than a very limited set of circumstances enumerated within the successive Service Agreement provided for a five-year term that automatically renewed for contain provisions that are highly favorable to CRM, including, among other things, each five-year terms and precluded the trustees the Service Agreements entered into between the from discharging Trusts and CRM all CRM
- percentage of the total members' stated manual premium, and not based on what the Trust actually collected in premiums; the fee earned by CRM under the initial Service Agreements
- applicants with poor loss histories and high experience modifiers, and to continue the <u>c</u> based on its fee structure, CRM had a financial incentive to accept

- borne by CRM, pursuant to the Service Agreements; and **a** CRM improperly charged the Trusts for expenses that should have been
- underpricing of contributions paid by Trust members CRM engaged in inadequate underwriting practices that resulted Ξ.
- choosing a certified public accountant and an actuary to conduct such annual audits." accountant pursuant to statements, including 34. and the Pursuant to regulation, all GSITs are required to submit annual audited financial an actuary to conduct such annual audits" and "CRM was responsible Service Agreements, "CRM was responsible for choosing a certified public an actuary report, to the WCB. According to the WCB Complaint,
- fewer new members and limit the potential revenue and growth opportunities for CRM membership and discounts. Therefore, limited membership and lower discounts would result in 90% or more. and use appropriate discounts if their audited financial statements reveal a trust equity ratio of If this ratio is not met, the WCB subjects GSITs to greater scrutiny, restricting According to the WCB Complaint, the WCB allows GSITs to grow memberships
- 36. According to the WCB Complaint, upon the WCB's information and belief
- portrayed the financial conditions of the Trusts (a) CRM knowingly submitted to the WCB annual reports that inaccurately
- sustained by the Trusts, even after they were identified; **b** CRM did not take sufficient remedial actions to mitigate the losses
- the liabilities of the Trusts; CRM had an incentive to compel or encourage its actuary to underestimate

relationships with affiliated entities **e** CRM failed to timely disclose to the Trusts' members and trustee

(d)

CRM improperly offered unreasonable discounts to many members of the

- Trusts managed by CRM; and Captive held the agency license that CRM used to place excess coverage on behalf of the Managers \odot Agency, Captive, LLC ("CRM Captive"), CRM brokered excess insurance to the Trusts through Compensation Risk an affiliated entity and
- Captive, and prior to CRM Captive holding the agency license, the license was held by Defendant Hickey individually. brokerage commissions then were remitted to CRM upon receipt by CRM
- liability, including under-reserving individual claims and utilizing improper actuarial/accounting assets and liabilities, Defendants and their affiliates disguised the true financial conditions of the cover liabilities; (3) that, accordingly, the Trusts would fall below "fully funded" status; (4) that, commercial rates; (2) that the membership growth inflated gross trust revenues while reducing net paid premium income to the level that the assets of the Trusts would become insufficient to and/or failed to disclose: (1) that Defendants and their affiliates engaged in a fraudulent scheme operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, part of their fraudulent scheme and course of business, to cover up the difference between bу engaging of business to grow membership in the Trusts by charging premiums Throughout the Ħ. certain improprieties designed to result in minimal projected claims Class Period, Defendants made false and/or

Page 16 of 57

suffered significant losses and damages decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- C.F.R. § 240.10b-5) Act (15 U.S.C. §§78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 The claims asserted herein arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange
- U.S.C. §1331 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78aa) This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to
- and conducts business within this Judicial District information, occurred in substantial part in this District. Additionally, CRMH maintains offices charged herein, including the preparation and dissemination of materially false and/or misleading alleged fraud or the effects of the fraud have occurred in this Judicial District. Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78aa(c)). Substantial acts in furtherance of the Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Many of the acts
- directly and indirectly used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including the connection with the acts, transactions, and conduct alleged herein, Defendants

- statements and/or material omissions alleged herein suffered damages as a result of the federal securities law violations and false and/or misleading incorporated by reference herein, purchased CRMH common stock during the Class Period, and Plaintiff Beverly L. Munter, as set forth in the accompanying
- executive offices as PO Box HM 2062, Hamilton, Bermuda, HM HX. Defendant CRMH is a Bermuda corporation and lists the address of its principal
- QLCC message board by the Company's consultant without disclosing that the source of the messages an investigation, and rendered a report to the QLCC on February 27, 2009. Committee of the Board of Directors (the "QLCC"), which retained special counsel to conduct conducted an internal investigation and referred the matter to the Qualified Legal Compliance response to negative messages posted by others. Thereafter, CRMH's office of general counsel instructed a consulting and public relations firm retained by the Company to post messages general counsel became aware that certain members of CRMH's senior management may have favorable CRMH from December 28, 2006 until his resignation from the Company effective March 13, relevant times, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of Directors and Co-Chief Executive Officer of CRMH until December 28, 2006, and was, at all concluded that messages favorable to the Company had been posted on the Prior to Defendant Hickey's resignation, in November 2008, the Company's office of 45. to the Company on the Yahoo message board in June and November of 2008 in Defendant Daniel Hickey was, at all relevant times, Chairman of the Board of As a

Page 18 of 57

- effective December 28, 2006 Deputy Chairman of the Board of Directors of CRMH until his resignation from the Company Defendant Rakoff was, at all relevant times, Co-Chief Executive Officer
- ("CFO") of CRMH Defendant James J. Scardino was, at all relevant times, Chief Financial Officer
- Directors of CRMH. Defendant Hickey Sr. was, at all relevant times, a member of the Board of
- herein had not been disclosed to, and were being concealed from, the public, and that the positive information available or shortly after, their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent their issuance with copies of the Company's reports and press releases alleged herein to be misleading prior to, portfolio managers and institutional investors, i.e., the market. CRMH's reports to the SEC, press releases and presentations to securities analysts, money and hereinafter with the Company, possessed the power and authority to control the contents of as to be corrected. Defendants Hickey, Rakoff, Scardino, and Hickey Sr., are collectively referred to the "Individual Defendants." to them, each of these defendants knew that the adverse facts specified Because of their positions and access The Individual Defendants, because of their Each defendant was provided ಕ material non-public

Defendants Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements pleaded herein, as those statements were representations which were being made were then materially false and/or misleading. "group-published" information, the result of the collective actions of the Individual The

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

groups in California and formerly in New York. organizations. underwritten New Jersey, New York, and other states. The Company reinsures some of the primary business primary workers' compensation insurance to employers in California, Arizona, Florida, Nevada, fee-based management and other services to self-insured entities. underwriting workers' compensation reinsurance and excess insurance policies, and providing business activities include underwriting primary workers' compensation insurance and CRMH is a provider of workers' compensation insurance products. CRMH is also a provider of fee-based management services to provides excess workers' compensation coverage The Company provides for Its main

Materially False and Misleading

signed by Defendants Hickey, Rakoff, Scardino, and Hickey Sr., and included CRMH's financial (collectively connection with the Company's IPO, CRMH filed a Registration Statement and Prospectus was completed on December 27, 2005, for an offering price of \$115,050,000 in the aggregate. IPO of approximately 8,850,000 shares of CRMH's common stock at \$13.00 per share, which the "Registration Statement") with the SEC. The Class Period begins on December 21, 2005. The Registration Statement was On this day, CRMH priced its

also stated: results for the first nine months of the 2005 fiscal year. Therein, the Company, in relevant part, results for the 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004 fiscal years, as well as the quarterly financial

workers' compensation self-insured groups in New York and California a leading provider of fee-based management and other services

existing business is dependent on a relatively small number of our managed monitor the members of each group we manage. A significant amount of our on industries that we believe have favorable risk profiles, and regularly screen and Eight of these groups are in New York and six are in California. We concentrate We have formed and currently manage 14 self-insured groups in 12 industries

California since its inception in October, 2003. has increased substantially in New York since its inception in 1999 As shown on the following chart, our fee-based management services business

approximately 85% of our net income for the year ended December 31, 2004. September 30, revenues and approximately 74% of our net income for the nine months ended Our fee-based management services accounted for approximately 83% of our total 2005 and approximately 84% of our total revenues

31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. million, \$110.0 million and \$106.9 million as of September 30, 2005, December premiums attributable to the groups we manage in New groups and recently approved manual rate increases. business will grow as a result of an increase in the number of members in these the near term. The aggregate annualized premiums paid by their members to the groups we manage in California were \$64.0 million, \$24.1 million and \$2.2 moratorium on the formation of new groups. We believe that our New York industries we have targeted. In addition, New York has presently in effect a respectively. We believe that we have formed groups in New York for all million as of September 30, 2005, December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, We anticipate that our California business will continue its rapid rate of growth in The aggregate annualized New York were \$111.5

our groups charge their members and are recognized as income over the year for in the future. Our management fees are based on a percentage of the premiums indicator of the change in our expected fee-based management services revenues aggregate annualized premiums because we believe that it is a meaningful Our management monitors the period to period changes in the amounts of

coverage through our subsidiary, Twin Bridges. We currently reinsure a portion excess insurance coverage and any required surety bonds for the groups. Since regulation or by administrative determination. We act as a broker and place this coverage to cover claims that exceed a minimum level established by state law or The self-insured groups are required to purchase excess workers' compensation of management fees we expect to earn in the future as our unearned management fees are recognized as income. annualized premiums are an indication of the increase or decrease in the amount we have provided reinsurance for a portion of this excess

which such premiums are fixed. Increases and decreases in the aggregate of these

On March 27, 2006, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings, Ltd.

Announces Fourth Quarter, Full Year Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated

share, similar to the \$1.9 million, or \$0.19 per diluted share in the fourth quarter Net income for the fourth quarter of 2005 was \$2.0 million, or \$0.18 per diluted

services revenues increased 44%, to \$10.3 million, primarily due to the expansion of CRM's group self-insurance business in California. 55% from \$8.7 million in the same quarter of 2004. Fee-based management Total revenues reached a record \$13.5 million in the fourth quarter of 2005, up

\$0.70 per diluted share, up 25% from \$5.8 million, or \$0.57 per diluted share, in For the twelve months ended December 31, 2005, net income was \$7.3 million, or

*

primarily due to the expansion of CRM's group self-insurance 2004. Fee-based management services revenues increased 32%, to \$36.5 million, 2005 total revenues were a record \$45.1 million, up 37% from \$32.8 million in business

segment increased 44% to \$10.3 million as compared to \$7.1 million in the fourth In the fourth quarter of 2005, revenues in the fee-based management services

\$2.0 million in the prior year. Revenues from groups in New York increased 5% to \$27.0 million for the year compared to \$25.7 million in 2004. Revenues from of its agreement. largest self-insured group that occurred as a result of a modification of the terms situated in California. Revenues from those groups increased to \$9.5 million from in the prior year. 85% of this growth in revenues was attributable to groups New York groups were adversely affected by lower fees from the Company's management services segment increased 32% to \$36.5 million from \$27.7 million For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, revenues in the

following the first quarter and look forward to a challenging and rewarding year markets but, if anything, we expect to accelerate the pace of our progress which we have placed ourselves. 2006 has begun with some uncertainties in our given the market opportunities in front of us and the strong financial condition in Concluded Mr. Hickey, "We could not be more excited about our future prospects

- by Defendants Hickey, Rakoff, and Scardino, who certified: Rakoff, and reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously announced on March 27, for the 2005 fiscal year. The Company's Form 10-K also contained Sarbanes-Oxley required certifications, signed On March 29, 2006, CRMH filed its Annual Report with the SEC on Form 10-K The Company's Form 10-K was signed by Defendants Hickey and
- filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2005 as I have reviewed the Annual Report of CRM Holdings, Ltd. (the "Report");

S

- 5 statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered make the statement made, in light of the circumstances under which such statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to by this Report; Based on my knowledge, this Report does not contain any untrue
- $\dot{\omega}$ the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this Report; information included in this Report, fairly present in all material respects Based on my knowledge, the financial statement, and other financial
- 4. defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the Company establishing and maintaining Company's other certifying officers and I are responsible disclosure controls and procedures (as
- in which this Report is being prepared. to us by other within those entities, particularly during the period Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such
- ত accepted accounting principles. statements for external purposes in accordance with generally reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused
- C during the Company's fourth quarter of 2005 that has materially disclosed in this Report that there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred or is reasonably likely ō materially affect,
- our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to directors: The Company's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on Company's auditors and to the audit committee of the board of
- a reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are record, process, summarize and report financial information; and all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design

- <u>b</u> internal control over financial reporting. other employees who have a significant role in the Company's any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or
- On May 9, 2006, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings, Ltd

Announces First Quarter 2006 Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated:

from \$9.3 million in the same quarter of 2005. Fee-based management services revenues increased 27%, to \$9.7 million. Total revenues reached a record \$14.8 million in the first quarter of 2006, up 59%

million in the same quarter of 2005. revenues increased to \$6.4 million in the first quarter of 2006, up 7% from \$6.0 first quarter of 2006, up 97% from the first quarter of 2005. In New York, California market. Revenues from groups in California were \$3.3 million quarter of 2005. The majority of this growth was due to increased business in the In the first quarter of 2006, revenues in the fee-based management services segment increased 27% to \$9.7 million as compared to \$7.7 million in the first

those we envisioned at the start of the year." model remain sound and we believe the longer term prospects remain similar to growth of our fee-based business. Nonetheless, the fundamentals of our business Concluded Mr. Hickey, "Thus far, 2006 has presented some challenges to the

- contained in ¶ 54, supra signed by Defendants Hickey, Rackoff, and Scardino, substantially similar to the certifications May 9, 2006. The Company's Form 10-Q also contained Sarbanes-Oxley required certifications. Rackoff, and Scardino, and reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously announced on for the 2006 fiscal first quarter. The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendants Hickey, On May 12, 2006, CRMH filed its Quarterly Report with the SEC on Form 10-Q
- Announces Second Quarter 2006 Results." On August 7, 2006, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings, Ltd Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated

second quarter of 2005. share, up 96% from net income of \$1.9 million, or \$0.19 per diluted share in the Net income for the second quarter of 2006 was \$3.7 million, or \$0.23 per diluted

services revenues increased 12%, to \$9.9 million. 59% from \$10.3 million in the same quarter of 2005. Fee-based management Total revenues reached a record \$16.4 million in the second quarter of 2006, up

from \$7.0 million in the same quarter of 2005. the second quarter of 2006, up 48% from the second quarter of 2005. In New business in California. Revenues from groups in California were \$2.7 million in quarter of 2005. The majority of this growth was due to a higher volume of segment increased 12% to \$9.9 million as compared to \$8.8 million in the second In the second quarter of 2006, revenues in the fee-based management services revenues increased to \$7.2 million in the second quarter of 2006, up 3%

\$13.6 million in the first half of 2006, up 5% from \$13.0 million in the first half 2006, up 71% from the first half of 2005. In New York, revenues increased to increased 19% to \$19.6 million as compared to \$16.5 million in the first half of In the first half of 2006, revenues in the fee-based management services segment Revenues from groups in California were \$6.0 million in the first half of

similar to the certifications contained in ¶ 54, supra. required certifications, announced on August 7, 2006. Hickey, Rackoff, and Scardino, and reaffirmed the Company's financial results for the 2006 fiscal second quarter. On August 8, 2006, CRMH filed its Quarterly Report with the SEC on Form 10-Q signed by The Company's Form 10-Q also contained Sarbanes-Oxley Defendants Hickey, The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendants Rackoff, and Scardino, substantially previously

Company, in relevant part, stated: Ltd. Announces Third-Quarter 2006 Results, Provides Update on Acquisition." Therein, the

third quarter of 2005. share, up 35% from net income of \$2.5 million, or \$0.24 per diluted share, in the Net income for the third quarter of 2006 was \$3.3 million, or \$0.21 per diluted

services revenues increased 4%, to \$10.1 million. 44% from \$12.0 million in the same quarter of 2005. Fee-based management Total revenues reached a record \$17.3 million in the third quarter of 2006, up

million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to \$7.0 million in the same quarter 2006, up 19% from the third quarter of 2005. In New York, revenues were \$6.8 Revenues from groups in California were \$3.2 million in the third quarter of overall, and a lower fee structure at two of the Company's managed groups of a 6% increase in group membership offset by reduced reinsurance commissions last year. Revenues in New York fell 2%, which was due to the combined effects continued lower premium rates in that state when compared to the same quarter which increased by 55%, from the same quarter last year, somewhat offset by number of group members in the Company's self-insured groups in California, quarter of 2005. Growth of revenues in this segment arose from growth in the segment increased 4% to \$10.1 million as compared to \$9.7 million in the third the third quarter of 2006, revenues in the fee-based management services

the long term " do so with a business model that is appropriate for the short term and thrives in remains steady. We are prospering in these market conditions and will continue to In conclusion, Mr. Hickey stated, ". . . At the same time, our New York market

Hickey, Rackoff, and Scardino, and reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously 10-Q for the 2006 fiscal third quarter. On November 7, 2006, CRMH filed its Quarterly Report with the SEC on Form The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendants

similar to the certifications contained in ¶ 54, supra required certifications, signed by Defendants Hickey, Rackoff, and Scardino, substantially announced on November 9, 2006. The Company's Form 10-Q also contained Sarbanes-Oxley

Announces Fourth Quarter, Full Year Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated: On March 8, 2007, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings, Ltd.

share, compared to \$2.0 million or \$0.18 per diluted share in the fourth quarter of Net income for the fourth quarter of 2006 was \$4.2 million, or \$0.26 per diluted

million in the same quarter of 2005 Growth of fee-based management services was limited primarily by lower reinsurance commission rates and flat premium volumes in both California and New York. Total revenues were a record \$26.6 million in the quarter, up 98% from \$13.5

addition of Majestic will create a powerful strategic combination with our feeinsurance with very favorable loss experience is enhancing profitability. based and reinsurance businesses that we expect to perform well over the long Commenting on the quarter, Dan Hickey Jr., CEO of CRM Holdings Ltd., said, ". . Disciplined underwriting of increasing amounts of workers compensation

or \$0.88 per diluted share, up 96% from \$7.3 million, or \$0.70 per diluted share in For the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, net income was \$14.3 million,

*

members both in New York, where membership increased 7% to 2,080, and in \$30.7 million . . . The rise in fee-based business was due to an increase in group California, where membership increased by 37%, to 402 2006 total revenues were a record \$75.0 million, up 67% from \$45.1 million in Net premiums from primary insurance and reinsurance increased 267%, to

Page 28 of 57

commissions remained similar to the same quarter last year, as commission rates at state remaining firm, premiums under management increased by 7%. certain trusts were lower. at the same time last year. As a result premiums under management at \$74.2 compared to the same quarter last year, however rates in that state were lower than quarter of 2005. The number of group members in California increased 37% to 402 In the fourth quarter of 2006, revenues in the fee-based management services segment were \$10.3 million, similar to the \$10.3 million of revenues in the fourth Company's membership in New York increased 7% to 2,080 and, with rates in the were similar to the \$74.9 million at the same time last year.

standards place us in a good position for another year of revenue and earnings larger broker network, broader base of business and continued excellent operating challenging insurance marketplace in 2007. Our increased Concluded Mr. Hickey, "We are very enthusiastic about our prospects in another geographic scope,

Defendants Hickey and Scardino substantially similar to the certifications contained in ¶ 54, Company's reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously announced on March 8, 2007. for the 2006 fiscal year. The Company's Form 10-K was signed by Defendant Hickey and Form 10-K also contained Sarbanes-Oxley required certifications, signed by On March 9, 2007, CRMH filed its Annual Report with the SEC on Form 10-K The

Announces First Quarter Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated 62 On May 2, 2007, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings, Ltd.

share, compared to \$3.0 million, or \$0.19 per diluted share, in the first quarter of Net income for the first quarter of 2007 was \$2.9 million, or \$0.18 per diluted

million in the same quarter of 2006 Total revenues were a record \$34.7 million in the quarter, up 135% from \$14.8

*

the same time a year ago. were lower than at the same time last year. As a result, premiums under management at quarter end of \$56.3 million declined 15.7% from \$66.7 million at modification movements. \$116.7 increased 5.5% to 2,082, but premiums under management decreased by 0.6% to 25.1% to 404 compared to the same quarter last year; however, rates in that state the first quarter of 2006. The number of group members in California increased segment were \$9.5 million, a decrease of 1.9% from \$9.7 million in revenues in In the first quarter of 2007, revenues in the fee-based management services million, as a result of business mix, The Company's group membership in New discounts, and experience

declines in California. CRM plans to remain competitive while maintaining high underwriting standards. Fee-based business remains competitive with the prospect of some additional rate

- Defendants Hickey and Scardino substantially similar to the certifications contained in ¶ 54, Company's and reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously announced on May 2, 2007. The for the 2007 fiscal first quarter. The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hickey Form 10-Q also contained Sarbanes-Oxley required certifications, signed by On May 14, 2007, CRMH filed its Quarterly Report with the SEC on Form 10-Q
- Announces Record Second Quarter Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part stated: On August 7, 2007, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings, Ltd

Total revenues were a record \$44.3 million in the quarter, up 171% from \$16.4 million in the same quarter of 2006.

options to our brokers, providing them our full array of products and services for and reinsurance further strengthen our relationships with our broker partners their clients," said Dan Hickey Jr., CEO of CRM Holdings Ltd. levels of performance, but we plan to continue to offer all workers' compensation Currently, our risk-based businesses are leading the way and driving improved Our diverse offerings of fee-based services, primary insurance, excess insurance, "We are continuing to build a solid and diversified revenue and earnings platform."

Page 30 of 57

the same time a year ago. The Company's group membership in New York \$100.7 million, mainly due to attrition in one trust. increased 8.9% to 2,093, but premiums under management decreased by 13.4% to management at quarter end of \$58.3 million declined 15.0% from \$68.5 million at were lower than at the same time last year. 19.4% to 412 compared to the same quarter last year; however, rates in that state the second quarter of 2006. The number of group members in California increased segment were \$8.8 million, a decrease of 11.4% from \$9.9 million in revenues in the second quarter of 2007, revenues in the fee-based management services As a result, premiums

be beneficial to revenue and earnings when market conditions harden. acquire books of business. CRM expects retention will remain strong, which will continue adding members to its self insured groups and will seek opportunities to California and must absorb a rate cut in New York. Nonetheless, CRM expects to Fee-based business will continue to experience soft market conditions in

Company's Form 10-Q also contained and reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously announced on August 7, 2007. The for the 2007 fiscal second quarter. The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hickey On August 8, 2007, CRMH filed its Quarterly Report with the SEC on Form 10-Q Sarbanes-Oxley required certifications, signed

Defendants Hickey and Scardino substantially similar to the certifications contained in \P

Ltd. Announces Third Quarter Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated: On November 7, 2007, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings,

share, compared to \$3.3 million, or \$0.21 per diluted share, in the third quarter of 2006. Total revenues were \$39.5 million in the quarter, an increase of 129% from \$17.3 million in the same quarter of 2006. Net income for the third quarter of 2007 was \$7.2 million, or \$0.44 per diluted

*

management at September 30, 2007 of \$58.9 million declined 19% from \$72.3 to \$98.4 million, mainly due to member attrition in one trust. million at the same time a year ago. The Company's group membership in New 10% to 415 compared to the same period last year; however, rates in California were lower than at the same time last year. Therefore, premiums under same quarter a year ago. The number of group members in California increased segment were \$8.7 million, a 14% decline from \$10.1 million in revenues in the York increased 4% to 2,099, but premiums under management decreased by 19% the third quarter of 2007, revenues in the fee-based management services

- by Defendants Hickey and Scardino substantially similar to the certifications contained in ¶ 54. 2007. The Company's Form 10-Q also contained Sarbanes-Oxley required certifications, signed Hickey and reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously announced on November 8, 10-Q for the 2007 fiscal third quarter. The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendant On November 8, 2007, CRMH filed its Quarterly Report with the SEC on Form
- Announces Fourth Quarter Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated On March 5, 2008, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings, Ltd.

million in the fourth quarter of 2006. the prior year. Total revenues increased 58.5% to \$42.2 million from \$26.6 share, compared to \$4.2 million, or \$0.26 per diluted share, in the same quarter of For the fourth quarter of 2007, net income was \$4.9 million, or \$0.30 per diluted insured group, on October 1, 2007. self-insured groups and the subsequent closure of its largest New York self-\$74.2 million compared to 2,080 and \$121.7 million respectively at the end of 2006. The decline reflects the reduction of membership of some of the Company's membership in New York was 1,922, and premiums under management were million, compared to \$196.2 million at the end of 2006. The Company's group previous year. Premiums under management on December 31, 2007, were \$133.5 membership in California. The number of group members in California increased to 422 from 402 at year end 2006, but rates in California declined from the in California and New York, which were partially offset by increasing group year. This result reflects the decline in groups and group membership in New million, compared with \$10.3 million in revenues in the same quarter the In the final quarter of 2007, fee-based management services revenues were \$8.2 York, lower commissions paid by Majestic to CRM, and declining insurance rates

based products will appeal more to its broker network in the New York and New California, and on its risk based business in New York. It expects that its risk-During 2008, CRM expects to build on its risk-based and fee-based services in markets where the self-insured structure IS increasingly subject to

The most recent rating action called for a zero percent change for January 1, 2008 reductions amounted to 16%, 9% and 14.2% between June 2006 and June 2007. slower pace than during where regulatory decisions are advisory only, rates appear to be declining at a 20.5% rate reduction was mandated effective October 1, 2007. In California, California. In both markets premium rates have been declining. In New York a products are expected to continue to attract interest from its broker network in regulatory constraints and competitive pressures. Both risk-based and fee-based 2006 and the early part of 2007 when three rate

year to be in the range of \$1.00 to \$1.15. major markets. On that basis the Company expects earnings per share for the full period reserve development, and a rate environment as currently in place in its With regard to profit expectations for 2008, the Company is assuming no prior

performance in the year ahead." throughout all insurance cycles. I am looking forward to reporting on our range of product offerings that our markets require and that we will prosper time since I founded this company have I felt more confident that we have the their clients and consistently strong return on equity for our shareholders. At no position to grow our business while providing great value for our brokers and writings are the keys to success in this soft market. In that way, we are in the best partnerships in the distribution chain, and targeted industry and geographic effective we see them. We plan to be prudent but competitive underwriters and costhave positioned and right-sized the business to be ideally placed in the markets as markets where the rate environment is still in the softening phase of the cycle. We Concluded Mr. Hickey, "CRM is in a very strong position today in its major group plan administrators. Underwriting discipline, selective

- Defendants Hickey and Scardino substantially similar to the certifications contained in \P 54, Company's Form 10-K also contained Sarbanes-Oxley required certifications, signed by reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously announced on March 5, for the 2007 fiscal year. On March 7, 2008, CRMH filed its Annual Report with the SEC on Form 10-K The Company's Form 10-K was signed by Defendant Hickey and The
- and their affiliates engaged in a fraudulent scheme and course of business to grow membership when made because defendants failed to disclose or indicate the following: (1) that Defendants 70. The statements contained in ¶¶ 52-70 were materially false and/or misleading

The Truth Begins To Emerge

Board." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated: Managers, LLC Receives Notice of Administrative Action by New York Workers Compensation On April 17, 2008, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "Compensation Risk

evidence at an administrative hearing to adjudicate the WCB's action to revoke claims administrative services to self-insured workers compensation groups in CRM's third party administrative license. in order to revoke CRM's third party administrator's license to provide third party initial investigation, the WCB has determined to pursue an administrative action the New York Workers' Compensation Board (the "WCB") that, following an ("CRM"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, has received notice from CRM Holdings, Ltd. today announced that Compensation Risk Managers, LLC Pursuant to such administrative action, CRM is entitled to present While CRM believes the charges are

operating results or cash flows. Compensation Board's administrative action may have on its financial position prevail in administrative action or any further litigation that may be commenced The Company cannot estimate what impact, if any, the New York Workers' substantially without merit, there can be no assurances that CRM will ultimately

operating results or cash flows. this inquiry and any results from this inquiry could have on its financial position, being conducted by the WCB. The Company cannot estimate what, if any, impact knowledge, the NY Attorney General has not initiated any proceedings against CRM. CRM believes that the subpoena relates to the concurrent investigation intends to fully cooperate with the NY Attorney General's request. To CRM's CRM's administration of the Healthcare Industry Trust of New York. CRM General's Office (the "NY Attorney General") requesting documents related to In addition, CRM has received a subpoena from the New York State Attorney

- On this news, shares of CRMH declined \$1.58 per share, or 32.24%, to close on
- April 17, 2008 at \$3.32 per share, on unusually heavy volume
- On May 6, 2008, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings, Ltd

Announces First Quarter Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated

\$0.30 per diluted share, from \$2.9 million, or \$0.18 per diluted share, a year ago Total revenues were \$37.7 million, up from \$34.7 million in the first quarter of During the first quarter of 2008, net income increased 71.7% to \$5.0 million, or

*

gratifying in these markets." last year and an 18% annualized return on average equity for the quarter is increase in book value of more than 30% compared to the end of the first quarter response to declining rates and difficult economics. The self-insured group We were able to renew 97% of the expiring policies as of January 1. Overall, an business in California turned in a solid performance in a very competitive market. based business in New York is in run-off mode as trusts in the state close down in New Jersey," said Daniel G. Hickey, Jr., CEO of CRM Holdings Ltd. "Our feebusinesses. We are growing profitably in California and now in New York and "The first quarter represents a positive start to the year in our risk based

*

development recorded in our primary insurance and reinsurance segments during expectations. The Company expects earnings per share for the full year to be in expense reductions, will produce results in line with the Company's original primary insurance, coupled with maintenance of the California fee business and the first quarter of 2008. With regard to profit expectations for 2008, the Company believes that growth in range of \$1.00 to \$1.15, which includes the favorable loss reserve

- Defendants Hickey and Scardino substantially similar to the certifications contained in ¶ 54, Company's Form 10-Q also contained Sarbanes-Oxley required certifications, signed by and reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously announced on May 6, 2008. The for the 2008 fiscal first quarter. The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hickey On May 12, 2008, CRMH filed its Quarterly Report with the SEC on Form 10-Q
- Managers, LLC Reaches Settlement Agreement With the New York Workers' Compensation Board." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated: 75. On June 2, 2008, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "Compensation Risk

CRM Holdings, Ltd., . . . announced its wholly-owned subsidiary Compensation Risk Managers, LLC ("CRM") has reached a resolution with the New York State Workers' Compensation Board ("WCB").

Hickey Jr., the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. committed to helping them find solutions wherever we can," said Mr. Daniel G. industry is facing some tremendous challenges in the months ahead and we are working with us to resolve this matter quickly. "I would like to thank the Workers' Compensation Board and Chairman Weiss for The group self-insured trust

to selectively expand our business." to turn our focus to meeting the needs of our brokers and end users as we continue as clarifies our defense of the allegations. The resolution of this matter allows us Mr. Hickey continued, "An end to this dispute benefits our shareholders, as well

of CRM's self-insured groups that voluntarily closed, it will continue to assist the assessed by the WCB. CRM agreed to pay \$55,000 to satisfy all penalties that previously had been Workers' Compensation Law and the WCB's regulations. In a separate matter, CRM to a new third party administrator appointed by the WCB under the WCB in the transfer of the administration of the groups still being managed by canceled. Both sides consider the matter resolved. As part of the ongoing transfer The hearing originally scheduled for May 20, but postponed last week, has been

On August 6, 2008, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings, Ltd.

Announces Second Quarter Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated:

million in the second quarter of 2007. revenues in the second quarter of 2008 were \$49.0 million, up 10.7% from \$44.2 share, compared to \$5.2 million, or \$0.32 per diluted share, a year ago For the second quarter of 2008, net income was \$3.1 million, or \$0.19 per diluted

business," said Daniel G. Hickey Jr., CEO of CRM Holdings, Ltd. "... We are business in New York, and we remain set for prudent growth in the rest of our ... The overall business remains profitable as we transition out of the fee-based

Company's remaining self-insured groups in New York as of April 1, 2008 \$159.3 million a year ago. The decline reflects the voluntary termination of the Premiums under management on June 30, 2008, were \$53.6 million, compared to operations in Poughkeepsie, N.Y., offset in part by a \$1.6 million charge related Expenses were reduced by efficiencies and workforce reductions at the company's rates in California also contributed to the reduction in revenues. Total expenses quarter of 2007, as the Company exited the management of self-insured groups in for the quarter were \$7.1 million, compared to \$9.8 million in the prior year. New York. Lower commissions paid by Majestic to CRM and declining insurance ended June 30, Fee-based management services revenues were \$3.9 million for the three months previously announced contract extension and settlement with 2008, compared with \$8.8 million in revenues in the second

were \$8.9 million, compared to \$18.7 million last year. For the first half of 2008, revenue in the fee-based management services segment

by CRM. approximately \$0.04 per share, spread over the next two quarters for possible legal costs associated with the closure of the New York trusts formerly managed The Company is also anticipating in its outlook \$900,000 of expenses,

profit expectations for the 2008 fiscal year. The Company now expects earnings per share for the full year to be in the range of \$0.70 to \$0.80. agreement as mentioned above and other factors, the Company is reducing its Based on the effects of the increased ceded premium under the 40% quota-share

Company's Form 10-Q also contained and reaffirmed the Company's financial results previously announced on August 6, 2008. for the 2008 fiscal second quarter. The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hickey On August 7, 2008, CRMH filed its Quarterly Report with the SEC on Form 10-Q Sarbanes-Oxley required certifications, signed by The

Defendants Hickey and Scardino substantially similar to the certifications contained Ħ. _

SEC. Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated: On October 3, 2008, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K with the

self-insured groups and engaged in certain self-dealing and deceptive practices information and belief, it is alleging that CRM breached certain duties to the broker of record for the eight self-insured groups. The WCB has indicated that it self-insured groups previously administered by Compensation Risk Mangers. its intention to initiate legal proceedings against the Company on behalf of eight is investigating CRM's LLC ("CRM") as it relates to CRM's actions while acting as the administrator and from the New York State Workers' Compensation Board (the "WCB") indicating On September 30, 2008, CRM Holdings, Ltd. (the "Company") received a letter administration of the self-insured groups, and upon

(Emphasis added).

- per share, or 24.31%, to close on October 7, 2008 at \$1.91 per share, on high volume On this news, over the next two days of trading, shares of CRMH declined \$0.61
- reserving in certain improprieties designed to result in minimal projected claims liability, including underscheme and course of business, to cover up the difference between assets and liabilities accordingly, the Trusts would fall below "fully funded" status; (4) that, as part of their fraudulent Defendants and their affiliates disguised the true financial conditions of the Trusts by engaging level that the assets of the Trusts would become insufficient to cover liabilities; (3) that, membership growth inflated gross trust revenues while reducing net paid premium income to the membership in the Trusts by charging premiums below commercial rates; (2) that the Defendants and their affiliates engaged in a fraudulent scheme and course of business to grow misleading when made because defendants failed to disclose or indicate the following: (1) that individual claims and utilizing improper actuarial/accounting methods; The statements contained in ¶¶ 72 and 74-79, were materially false and/or (5) that

statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times internal and financial controls; and (8) that, as a result of the above, the Company's financial that, as a result of the above, the Company was exposed to hundreds of millions of dollars in liabilities relating to the under funding of the Trusts; (7) that the Company lacked adequate financial and actuarial reports for the Trusts which reflected artificially reduced liabilities; (6) Defendants and their affiliates provided the WCB with materially false and/or misleading

Disclosures At The End Of The Class Period

Ltd. Announces Third Quarter Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated: On November 5, 2008, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings,

uncollectable receivables; and a \$(0.12) reserve adjustment to bring reserves for with the Company's higher third quarter reserve ratio for certain primary losses in the 2008 accident year accrued in the first and second quarters in line previously-announced \$1 million Lehman Brothers debt security owned by the items included, on an after-tax basis: \$(0.03) of impairment charges relating to a insurance risks. Company; a \$(0.04) charge relating to reserves created against potentially Results for the third quarter were influenced by several unusual items. These from continuing operations were a loss of \$(0.18) per diluted share

third quarter have been classified as discontinued operations in the Company's also closed its medical review business carried out through its subsidiary Eimar, responsible for this business, Compensation Risk Managers, LLC. The Company of providing services to workers' compensation self-insured groups in the State of financial statements LLC. The costs and remaining revenues from the businesses occurring during the New York in the third quarter. As a result, it closed its New York subsidiary was \$2.9 million, or \$(0.18) per diluted share. The Company exited the business In the third quarter of 2008, the Company's net loss from continuing operations

change in the Company's accounts receivable reserves, a cumulative adjustment result of several factors, including the unusual items described above: namely, a Total expenses increased to \$34.5 million from \$27.6 million a year ago, as a

*

2008, were \$52.7 million, compared to \$58.9 million a year ago. quarter of 2008 versus 2007. Premiums under management on September 30. million, compared to \$2.8 million a year ago. Expenses allocated to million in the third quarter of 2007. Total expenses for the quarter were \$1.5 Company's fee-based management services segment were lower in the third Fee-based management services revenues were \$2.0 million, compared with \$2.8

*

Page 41 of 57

range of \$0.58 to \$0.63. expects earnings per share from continuing operations for the full year to be in the reducing its profit expectations for the 2008 fiscal year. The Company now Based on the effects of third quarter results and other factors, the Company is

(Emphasis added)

Hickey and Scardino stated: other market participants to discuss the Company's financial results for the 2008 fiscal third quarter. Defendants Hickey and Scardino were present. Therein, in relevant part, Defendants That day, CRMH held a conference call with investors, securities analysts, and

Document 1

- else [inaudible] a couple of questions is the reserve adjustment, could you go into why you felt you needed to increase the accident year for this year in particular? <Q - Paul Newsome - Analyst>: Okay. The next big one and then I'll let someone
- where we're actually carrying our reserves. accident year went up 4% - went up 400 basis points, let's say. But it was a that the estimated ultimate loss ratio for this particular accident year function of the perceived pricing environment not of any kind of deficiencies in we are getting relative to payroll was down by an amount - percentage sufficient losses are not showing any significant deterioration. The amount of premium that pricing environment in California was such that they caution while our underlying <A - Defendant Scardino>: Yes. The view that our actuary took was that the
- means that your reserves were deficient for the first half of the year <Q - Paul Newsome>: I don't understand that. Obviously your reserve charge

priced the business? Or we now assume that you didn't get a reserve charge, have an unexpected change in the expected claim trends for exposure... can you either set the reserves too low for a given level of exposure or you – and

- applied to our premiums to get to that same number of 64%. So, in effect, we had would give us an ultimate loss sufficient to meet our obligations. As we looked at where pricing was coming in, the ratio that needed to be charged - needed to be numbers, we started the year thinking that 60% of the premiums we were seeing loss ratio versus 60. to increase reserves by that difference for the full year. So we have 64% ultimate - Defendant Scardino>: We started the year - if we just give you easy
- and that's driven primarily by industry price change. Our loss frequency or loss severity, as Jim mentioned, does not in anyway indicate that potentially those year. It's not in anyway an indication of an under reserve or under pricing year and therefore he felt it was conservative and prudent to look at the current figure - in any industry-wide figure is saying that pricing is down in the current losses will materialize to that level. But the actuary is looking at an industry-wide <A - Defendant Hickey >: This is a selected loss ratio by the third-party actuary
- [inaudible]. Right? industry is going to lose, you set your reserves according to what you think because you don't say your reserves according to what you think the rest of the <Q - Paul Newsome>: I am sorry. That doesn't really make any sense to me.
- million of loss reserve increases that would have otherwise been reflected in Q1 movements in the other states, was such that during Q3, we had about \$2.5 reserve in New York as well. So the combination of those two things, net of the actuarial ultimate and we had some development in increasing our loss <A - Defendant Scardino>: Okay. That - Paul, we needed to be at 64% based on

(Emphasis added).

share, on high volume CRMH declined \$0.58 per share, more than 36%, to close on November 7, 2008 at \$1.03 per On this news, over the course of the following three days of trading, shares of

Disclosures After the Class Period

On December 9, 2009, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings,

Ltd. Responds to the New York Attorney General." Therein, the Company, in relevant part,

General ("Attorney General"). Imminent Enforcement Action" from the New York State Office of the Attorney CRM Holdings, Ltd., . . . today announced that it has received a "Notice

of investigation, during which time the Company has cooperated fully with the reached within five days. The Attorney General's Notice follows over 19 months redress of allegedly unlawful practices, unless an acceptable settlement can be According to the Notice, the Attorney General intends to file civil claims against the Company, certain of its subsidiaries, and certain directors and officers to seek Attorney General's office.

intending to seek injunctive relief, restitution, damages, penalties, and costs violated New York's Executive Law and Martin Act. The Attorney General is insurance trusts in New York and in connection with the Company's initial public LLC's administration and marketing of workers' engaged in fraudulent practices in connection with Compensation Risk Managers, The Attorney General alleges that the Company and the other named parties completed in December 2005. These practices are alleged to compensation group self-

directors and officers with five days to present acceptable offers of settlement. investigation without litigation and has provided the Company and the named The Attorney General's Notice indicated that it remains open to resolving the

Notice before the indicated window for discussion had expired. lawsuit and dismayed that the Attorney General's office chose to disclose the The Company is disappointed by the Attorney General's decision to bring the

On December 10, 2009, CRMH issued a press release entitled, "CRM Holdings,

Company, in relevant part, stated: Ltd. Responds to the New York State Workers' Compensation Board Lawsuit." Therein, the

Compensation Board ("WCB") has commenced a lawsuit against CRM on its CRM Holdings, Ltd. today announced that the behalf and in its capacity as successor in interest to the New York State Workers'

compensation group self-insurance trusts in New York previously managed by Compensation Risk Managers, LLC.

damages in an amount that is not currently ascertainable, but which is believed to unjustly enriched. The WCB alleges that the WCB and the trusts have suffered the trusts, engaged in deceptive business practices and advertising, and were breached fiduciary exceed \$405 million. fair dealing owed to the trusts, engaged in fraudulent activities in administering Compensation Risk Managers and the trusts, breached duties of good faith and County, alleges that CRM, its subsidiaries and certain directors and officers The WCB's lawsuit, brought in Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany duties owed to the trusts, breached contracts between

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

controlling interest representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which Defendants have or had a Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal damaged thereby. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, the officers and directors of the (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased CRMH's securities between December 21, 2005 and November 5, 2008, inclusive (the "Class Period") and who were Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and

Period, the Company had 16,085,235 shares of common stock outstanding. Class Period on the NASDAQ and as of November 4, 2008, shortly near the end of the Class of members in the proposed Class. Millions of CRMH shares were traded publicly during the ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds or thousands the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations Market ("NASDAQ"). While impracticable. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is Throughout the Class Period, CRMH's securities were actively traded on Record owners and

- federal law that is complained of herein members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class all
- Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members
- questions of law and fact common to the Class are: predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Common questions of law and fact exist as ರ all members of the Class and
- alleged herein; (a) Whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants'
- prospects of CRMH; and Class Period omitted and/or misrepresented material facts about the business, operations, and **(** Whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the
- proper measure of damages <u>O</u> To what extent the members of the Class have sustained damages and the

Case 1:10-cv-00975-RPP

burden of individual litigation makes the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient it impossible for members of the Class to individually Furthermore, as

- information relating to CRMH, and have been damaged thereby relying upon the integrity of the market price of the Company's securities and market Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired CRMH's securities to disclose, CRMH's securities traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period. relevant times. As a result of these materially false and/or misleading statements, and/or failures The market for CRMH's securities was open, well-developed and efficient at all
- misrepresented the truth about CRMH's business, operations, and prospects as alleged herein. false as set forth herein, not false and/or misleading. statements and/or omitting to disclose material facts necessary to make Defendants' statements, thereby inflating the price of CRMH's securities, by publicly issuing false and/or misleading and/or misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information and/or During the Class Period, Defendants materially misled the investing Said statements and omissions were materially
- thus causing the Company's securities to be overvalued and artificially inflated at all relevant unrealistically positive assessment of the Company and its financial well-being and prospects misstatements and/or omissions had the misleading statements about CRMH's financial well-being and prospects. Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false damages sustained by Plaintiff and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the in this Complaint directly or proximately caused or were a substantial contributing cause of the At all relevant times, the material misrepresentations and omissions particularized cause and effect of creating in the These material

artificially inflated prices, thus causing the damages complained of herein resulted in Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchasing Defendants' materially false and/or misleading statements during the the Company's securities Class

LOSS CAUSATION

- the economic loss suffered by Plaintiff and the Class Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged herein, directly and proximately caused
- alleged herein to have been concealed from the market, and/or the effects thereof, were revealed significantly declined when the misrepresentations made to the market, and/or the information causing investors' losses artificially inflated prices and were damaged thereby. The price of the Company's securities 95. During the Class Period, Plaintiff and the Class purchased CRMH'securities

SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS

concerning CRMH, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein associations with the Company which made them privy to confidential proprietary information and/or modification of CRMH's allegedly materially misleading misstatements receipt of information reflecting the true facts regarding CRMH, his control over, and/or receipt federal securities laws. in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced materially false and/or misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were As alleged herein, Defendants acted with scienter in that Defendants knew that As set forth elsewhere herein in detail, Defendants, by virtue of their and/or their

APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE (FRAUD-ON-THE-MARKET DOCTRINE)

- market information relating to CRMH, and have been damaged thereby Company's securities relying upon the integrity of the market price of CRMH's securities and \$15.00 per share. February 6, 2006 the price of the Company's common stock closed at a Class Period high of disclose, CRMH's securities traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period. relevant times. As a result of the materially false and/or misleading statements and/or failures to The market for CRMH's securities was open, well-developed and efficient at all Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired the
- was revealed, and each of them has been damaged as a result. at such artificially inflated prices and the price of the Company's securities fell when the fraud Period resulted in Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchasing the Company's securities Company stock. artificially inflated at all relevant times, and when disclosed, negatively affected the value of the business, operations, and prospects, thus causing the price of the Company's securities to be misleading statements about CRMH's business, prospects, and operations. misstatements and/or omissions created an unrealistically positive assessment of CRMH and its damages sustained by Plaintiff and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the the material misrepresentations and/or omissions particularized in this Complaint causing the Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false and/or During the Class Period, the artificial inflation of CRMH's stock was caused by Defendants' materially false and/or misleading statements during the Class These material
- for the following reasons, among others At all relevant times, the market for CRMH's securities was an efficient market

- traded on the NASDAQ, a highly efficient and automated market; (a) CRMH stock met the requirements for listing, and was listed and actively
- and the NASDAQ; **b** As a regulated issuer, CRMH filed periodic public reports with the SEC
- similar reporting services; and releases on the national circuits of major newswire services and through other wideranging public disclosures, such as communications with the financial press and other market communication mechanisms, including through regular dissemination of press CRMH regularly communicated with public investors via established
- was publicly available and entered the public marketplace force and certain customers of their respective brokerage firms. who wrote reports about the Company, and these reports were distributed to the sales **a** CRMH was followed by securities analysts employed by brokerage firms Each of these reports
- securities at artificially inflated prices and a presumption of reliance applies securities during the Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchase information in CRMH's stock price. Under these circumstances, all purchasers of CRMH's current information regarding CRMH from all publicly available sources and reflected such As a result of the foregoing, the market for CRMH's securities promptly digested of CRMH's

FIRST CLAIM Violation of Section 10(b) of The Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder Against All Defendants

fully set forth herein Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as

Page 50 of 57

- took the actions set forth herein furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan and course of conduct, defendants, and each of them, other members of the Class to purchase CRMH's securities at artificially inflated prices. public, including Plaintiff and other Class members, as alleged herein; and (ii) cause Plaintiff and conduct which was intended to and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing During the Class Period, Defendants carried out a plan, scheme and course 'n
- wrongful and illegal conduct charged herein or as controlling persons as alleged below the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5. All Defendants are sued either as primary participants in the maintain artificially high market prices for CRMH's securities in violation of Section 10(b) of operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's securities in an effort to statements not misleading; and (iii) engaged in acts, practices, and a course of business which untrue statements of material fact and/or omitted to state material facts necessary to make the Defendants (i) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (ii) made
- well-being and prospects, as specified herein. continuous course of conduct to conceal adverse material information about CRMH's financial or instrumentalities of interstate commerce and/or of the mails, engaged and participated in a Defendants, individually and in concert, directly and indirectly, by the use, means
- material facts necessary in order to make the statements made about CRMH and its participation in the course of conduct as alleged herein in an effort to assure investors of CRMH's value possession of material adverse non-public information and engaged in acts, practices, and a and continued substantial growth, which included the making These defendants employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud, while making of, untrue statements of material facts and/or omitting

Page 51 of 57

course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's securities during the Class Period. misleading, as set forth more particularly herein, and engaged in transactions, practices and a operations and future prospects in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not

- knew and/or recklessly disregarded was materially false and misleading was aware of the Company's dissemination of information to the investing public which they Company's finances, operations, and sales at all relevant times; and (iv) each of these defendants projections and/or reports; (iii) each of these defendants enjoyed significant personal contact and participated in the creation, development and reporting of the Company's internal budgets, plans, familiarity with the other defendants and was advised of, and had access to, other members of the responsibilities and activities as a senior officer and/or director of the Company, was privy to and management team or had control thereof; (ii) each of these defendants, by virtue of their and/or directors at the Company during the Class Period and members of the Company's liability, arises from the following facts: (i) the Individual Defendants were high-level executives management team, internal reports and other data and information about the Each of the Individual Defendants' primary liability, and controlling
- by Defendants' overstatements and/or misstatements of the Company's business, operations investing public and supporting the artificially inflated price of its securities. As demonstrated for the purpose and effect of concealing CRMH's financial well-being and prospects from the defendants' material misrepresentations and/or omissions were done knowingly or recklessly and of material facts set forth herein, or acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed ascertain and to disclose such facts, even though such facts were available to them. Such The defendants had actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or omissions

obtain such knowledge by deliberately refraining from taking those steps necessary to discover actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or omissions alleged, were reckless in failing to whether those statements were false or misleading financial well-being, and prospects throughout the Class Period, Defendants, if they did not have

- thereby when the artificial inflation was removed from the securities when the truth acquired CRMH's securities during the Class Period at artificially high prices and were damaged statements by Defendants during the Class Period, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class the market in which the securities trades, and/or in the absence of material adverse information that was indirectly on the false and misleading statements made by Defendants, or upon the integrity of information and/or failure to disclose material facts, as set forth above, the market price of market prices of the Company's securities were artificially inflated, and relying directly or CRMH's securities was artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the fact that known to or recklessly disregarded by Defendants, As a result of the dissemination of the materially false and/or but not disclosed in
- the artificially inflated prices which they paid or, if they had acquired such securities during the Class Period, they would not have done members of the Class would not have purchased or otherwise acquired their CRMH securities. that CRMH was experiencing, and the other members of the Class and the marketplace known the truth regarding the problems members of the Class were ignorant of their falsity, and believed them to be true. 7 the time of said misrepresentations and/or omissions, Plaintiff which were not disclosed by Defendants, Plaintiff and other

- Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. By virtue of the foregoing, Defendants have violated Section 10(b)
- and sales of the Company's securities during the Class Period other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and

The Exchange Act Against the Individual Defendants SECOND CLAIM

- fully set forth herein 112. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above
- cause the statements to be corrected these statements were issued and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or provided with or had unlimited access to copies of the Company's reports, press releases, public statements which Plaintiff contends are false and misleading. The Individual Defendants were filings and other statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after decision-making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the Company with the SEC and disseminated to the investing public, the Individual Defendants had Company's operations and/or intimate knowledge of the false financial statements filed by the positions, and their ownership and contractual rights, participation in and/or awareness of the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. power to influence and control and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of CRMH within the By virtue of their high-level
- the day-to-day operations of the Company and, therefore, is presumed to have had the power to In particular, each of these Defendants had direct and supervisory involvement

the Company's securities during the Class Period Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their purchases of 20(a) of the Exchange Act. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, their positions as controlling persons, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 by their acts and/or omissions as alleged in this Complaint. By virtue of As set forth above, CRMH and the Individual Defendants each violated Section

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- Rules of Civil Procedure: (a) Determining that this action is a proper class action under Rule 23 of the Federal
- Defendants' wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial, including interest thereon; members against all defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages sustained as a result of **b** Awarding compensatory damages in favor of Plaintiff and the
- this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and **©** Awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses incurred in
- (d) Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

DATED: February 4, 2010

MURRAY, **\$AILER LLP**

Brian P. Murkay (BM books)

275 Madison Avenue, Suite 801

Telephone: New York, New York 10016

Facsimile: (212) 682-1892 (212) 682-1818

GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP

Lionel Z. Glancy

Michael Goldberg

1801 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 311

Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone: (310) 201-9150

Facsimile: (310) 201-9160

LAW OFFICES OF HOWARD G. SMITH

3070 Bristol Pike, Suite 112 Howard G. Smith

Bensalem, PA 19020

Telephone: (215) 638-4847

Facsimile: (215) 638-4867

Attorneys for Plaintiff Beverly L. Munter

SWORN CERTIFICATION OF PLAINTIFF

CRM Holdings, Ltd., SECURITIES LITTGATION

I. Beverly Munter, certify that:

- I have reviewed the complaint and authorized its filing.
- I did not purchase CRM Holdings, Ltd., the security that is the subject of this action at the direction of plaintiff's counsel or in order to participate in any private action arising under this title.
- am willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class and will testify at deposition and trial.
- My transactions in CRM Holdings, Ltd. during the class period set forth in the Complaint are as

5	l sold	I sold	l sold	l sold	Dought	l bought	l bought	I bought	I bought
ahanese On	shares on	shares on	shares on	shares on	Startes on	bought 303 shares on / 18 108 at \$7 x 20 per share.	105 y shares on	191 shares on	1356 shares on
_	_	<u> </u> _	_	_	\	-	-	-	-
`		_		_	N. S.	11.50	117	16	15
	at \$	at \$	21.S	21.5	10 m 3	S 18 80	1 08 at 8	1050 ms	108 ms
ner chare	per share.	per share.	per share.	per share.	1330 per share.	7 4 10 per share.	2 342 per share.	1 + % per share.	7.392 pcr share.
			Ç	Bought	or shares	FOR BALANCE	SEE ENCLOSED		

(List Additional Transactions on a Separate Page if Necessary).

- Ņ I have not served as a representative party on behalf of a class under this title during the last three years except as stated:
- I will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party, except to receive my pro rata share of any recovery or as ordered or approved by the court including the award to a representative plaintiff of reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the

IT Check here if you are a current employee or former employee of the defendant Company.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing are true and correct statements

Case 1:10-cv-00975-RPP

(Please Sign Your Name Above)

CRM Holdings - additional shares purchased

Type of Security	Buy Date	Number of Shures	Price Per Shares
Common	1/25/08	2500	6.530
Common	1/29/08	900	6.840
Common	1/30/08	1600	6.780
Common	5/15/08	550	4.220
Сопинов	5/15/08	450	4.150
Cammon	20/21/5	1500	4